Inducing Document Plans for Concept-to-Text Generation

Ioannis Konstas, Mirella Lapata

Institute for Language, Cognition and Computation University of Edinburgh

EMNLP 2013, Seattle 20 October 2013

Introduction

Concept-to-text generation refers to the task of automatically producing textual output from nonlinguistic input (Reiter and Dale, 2000)

Introduction

Concept-to-text generation refers to the task of automatically producing textual output from nonlinguistic input (Reiter and Dale, 2000)



Click start, point to settings, and then click control panel. Double-click users and passwords. On the advanced tab, click advanced.

Traditional NLG Pipeline



Traditional NLG Pipeline



Traditional NLG Pipeline



Traditional NLG Pipeline



Traditional NLG Pipeline



Traditional NLG Pipeline



20 October 2013 3 / 23

Traditional NLG Pipeline



Traditional NLG Pipeline



Traditional NLG Pipeline



Traditional NLG Pipeline



- Input: database records d
- Output: words **w** corresponding to some records of **d**
- Each record r ∈ d has a type r.t and fields f
- Fields have values *f*.*v* and types *f*.*t* (integer, categorical, string)

Desktop			
Cmd	Name	Туре	
left-click	start	button	



- Input: database records d
- Output: words **w** corresponding to some records of **d**
- Each record r ∈ d has a type r.t and fields f
- Fields have values *f*.*v* and types *f*.*t* (integer, categorical, string)

Desktop		
Cmd	Name	Туре
left-click	start	button



- Input: database records d
- Output: words **w** corresponding to some records of **d**
- Each record r ∈ d has a type r.t and fields f
- Fields have values *f*.*v* and types *f*.*t* (integer, categorical, string)

Desktop		
Cmd	Name	Туре
left-click	start	button



- Input: database records d
- Output: words **w** corresponding to some records of **d**
- Each record r ∈ d has a type r.t and fields f
- Fields have values *f*.*v* and types *f*.*t* (integer, categorical, string)

Desktop		
Cmd	Name	Туре
left-click	start	button

- Input: database records d
- Output: words **w** corresponding to some records of **d**
- Each record r ∈ d has a type r.t and fields f
- Fields have values *f*.*v* and types *f*.*t* (integer, categorical, string)

Desktop			
Cmd	Name	Туре	
left-click	start	button	





Click start, point to settings, and then click control panel.

Double-click users and passwords.

On the advanced tab, click advanced.

Key Idea



Click start, point to settings, and then click control panel.

Double-click users and passwords.

On the advanced tab, click advanced.

Key Idea



Click start, point to settings, and then click control panel.

Double-click users and passwords.

On the advanced tab, click advanced.

Key Idea



Key Idea



Key Idea: Grammar-based document plans

• Extend the model of Konstas and Lapata (2012)

- Extend the model of Konstas and Lapata (2012)
- Re-use the generation model based on a PCFG grammar of input

- Extend the model of Konstas and Lapata (2012)
- Re-use the generation model based on a PCFG grammar of input
- Replace existing locally coherent Content Selection model and incorporate global Document Planning (explore two solutions):

- Extend the model of Konstas and Lapata (2012)
- Re-use the generation model based on a PCFG grammar of input
- Replace existing locally coherent Content Selection model and incorporate global Document Planning (explore two solutions):

Key Idea: Grammar-based document plans

- Extend the model of Konstas and Lapata (2012)
- Re-use the generation model based on a PCFG grammar of input
- Replace existing locally coherent Content Selection model and incorporate global Document Planning (explore two solutions):

Patterns of record sequences within a sentence and among sentences

Rhetorical Structure Theory (Mann and Thompson, 1988) inspired plans







Konstas, Lapata (ILCC)

Concept-to-Text Generation

20 October 2013 8 / 23

 $\mathsf{R}(desktop_1.t) \rightarrow \mathsf{FS}(start_1, start)\mathsf{R}(start_1.t)$



■ S → R(start)
■ R(
$$r_i.t$$
)→FS(r_j , start)R($r_j.t$) | FS(r_j , start)

 $\mathsf{R}(desktop_1.t) \rightarrow \mathsf{FS}(start_1, start)\mathsf{R}(start_1.t)$



- $\ \, {\sf S} \rightarrow {\sf R}(\textit{start})$
- **Q** R(r_i .t)→FS(r_j , start)R(r_j .t) | FS(r_j , start) **Q** FS($r, r.f_i$)→F($r, r.f_j$)FS($r, r.f_j$) | F($r, r.f_j$)

 $FS(desktop_1, cmd) \rightarrow F(desktop_1, name)FS(desktop_1, name)$



- $\bullet \ \mathsf{S} \to \mathsf{R}(\textit{start})$
- $FS(r, r.f_i) \rightarrow F(r, r.f_j)FS(r, r.f_j) | F(r, r.f_j)$
- $F(r,r.f) \rightarrow W(r,r.f)F(r,r.f) | W(r,r.f)$

 $F(desktop_1, cmd) \rightarrow W(desktop_1, cmd)F(desktop_1, cmd)$



$$\bullet S \to \mathsf{R}(\textit{start})$$

•
$$F(r, r.f) \rightarrow W(r, r.f) F(r, r.f) | W(r, r.f)$$

$$W(r, r.f) \rightarrow \alpha \mid g(f.v)$$

 $W(desktop_1, cmd) \rightarrow click [cmd.v = 'left-click']$
Grammar

- $\ \, \bullet \ \, \mathsf{S} \to \mathsf{R}(\textit{start})$
- $FS(r, r.f_i) \rightarrow F(r, r.f_j)FS(r, r.f_j) | F(r, r.f_j)$
- $F(r, r.f) \rightarrow W(r, r.f)F(r, r.f) \mid W(r, r.f)$
- $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{f}) \rightarrow \alpha \mid \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v})$

EM Training: dynamic program similar to the inside-outside algorithm

Key idea: Grammar on sequences of record types (G_{RSE})

Key idea: Grammar on sequences of record types (G_{RSE})

Click start, point to settings, and then click control panel. || Double-click users and passwords. || On the advanced tab, click advanced. ||

Split a document into sentences, each terminated by a full-stop.

Key idea: Grammar on sequences of record types (G_{RSE})

Click start, point to settings, and then click control panel. || Double-click users and passwords. || On the advanced tab, click advanced. ||

Split a document into sentences, each terminated by a full-stop.

 desktop | start | start-target

 Click start, point to settings, and then click control panel.

 window-target

 Double-click users and passwords.

Then split a sentence further into a sequence of record types.

2

Key idea: Grammar on sequences of record types (G_{RSE})

Click start, point to settings, and then click control panel. || Double-click users and passwords. || On the advanced tab, click advanced. ||

Split a document into sentences, each terminated by a full-stop.

desktop | start | start-target
 Click start, point to settings, and then click control panel.
 window-target
 Double-click users and passwords.
 contextMenu | action-contextMenu |
 click advanced.

Then split a sentence further into a sequence of record types.

Goal: Learn patterns of record type sequences within and among sentences



- $S(r, r.f_i) \rightarrow F(r, r.f_j)FS(r, r.f_j) | F(r, r.f_j)$
- $F(r, r.f) \rightarrow W(r, r.f)F(r, r.f) | W(r, r.f)$
- $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{f}) \rightarrow \alpha \mid \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v})$



- $D \rightarrow SENT(t_i, \ \ldots, \ t_j) \ \ldots \ SENT(t_l, \ \ldots, \ t_m)$

- $\mathsf{FS}(r, r.f_i) \rightarrow \mathsf{F}(r, r.f_j) \mathsf{FS}(r, r.f_j) | \mathsf{F}(r, r.f_j)$
- $F(r,r.f) \rightarrow W(r,r.f)F(r,r.f) \mid W(r,r.f)$
- $W(r, r.f) \rightarrow \alpha \mid g(f.v) \mid gen_str(f.v, i)$



- $(r_i.t) \rightarrow \mathsf{FS}(r_j, start)$

•
$$\mathsf{FS}(r, r.f_i) \rightarrow \mathsf{F}(r, r.f_j) \mathsf{FS}(r, r.f_j) | \mathsf{F}(r, r.f_j)$$

- $F(r,r.f) \rightarrow W(r,r.f)F(r,r.f) \mid W(r,r.f)$
- $W(r, r.f) \rightarrow \alpha \mid g(f.v) \mid gen_str(f.v, i)$

Straightforward solution: Embed the parameters with the original grammar and train using EM



- $(r_i.t) \rightarrow \mathsf{FS}(r_j, start)$

•
$$\mathsf{FS}(r, r.f_i) \rightarrow \mathsf{F}(r, r.f_j) \mathsf{FS}(r, r.f_j) | \mathsf{F}(r, r.f_j)$$

• $W(r, r.f) \rightarrow \alpha \mid g(f.v) \mid gen_str(f.v, i)$

Straightforward solution: Embed the parameters with the original grammar and train using EM

Plan B: Extract grammar rules from training data

Konstas, Lapata (ILCC)

Concept-to-Text Generation

desktop	start		start-target	window-target	
Click start,	point to settings,		and then click control panel.	Double-click users and passwords.	
contextMenu		actio	n-contextMenu		
On the advanced tab ,		clic	k advanced.	Liang et al. (2009)	

desktop	start		start-target	window-target	
Click start,	point to settings,		and then click control panel	Double-click users and passwords.	
contextMenu		actio	n-contextMenu		
On the advanced tab ,		clic	ck advanced.	Liang et al. (2009)	

desktop start start-target || window-target || contextMenu action-contMenu ||]













Key idea: Grammar using RST relations (G_{RST})

Key idea: Grammar using RST relations (G_{RST})

Assumption

Each record in the database input corresponds to a unique non-overlapping span in the collocated text, and can be therefore mapped to an EDU.

desktop	start		start-target		window-target	
Click start,	point to settings,		and then click control panel.		Double-click users and passwords.	
contextMenu		actio	n-contextMenu			
On the advanced tab ,		clic	k advanced.		I	Liang et al. (2009)

desktop	start		start-target		window-target	
Click start,	point to settings,		and then click control panel.		Double-click users and passwords.	
contextMenu		actio	n-contextMenu			
On the advanced tab ,		clic	k advanced.			Liang et al. (2009)

[Click start,]^{desktop} [point to settings,]^{start} [and then click control panel.]^{start-target} [Double-click users and passwords.]^{window-target} [On the advanced tab,]^{contextMenu} [click advanced.]^{action-contextMenu}





- G_{RST}
- **2** $R(r_i.t) \rightarrow FS(r_j, start)$
- $F(r, r.f) \rightarrow W(r, r.f)F(r, r.f) \mid W(r, r.f)$
- $W(r, r.f) \rightarrow \alpha \mid g(f.v) \mid gen_str(f.v, i)$

Experimental Setup

Data

- WEATHERGOV : weather reports [4 sents, 345 words] (Liang et al., 2009)
- WINHELP : troubleshooting guides [4.3 sents, 629 words] (Branavan et al., 2009)

Experimental Setup

Data

- WEATHERGOV : weather reports [4 sents, 345 words] (Liang et al., 2009)
- WINHELP : troubleshooting guides [4.3 sents, 629 words] (Branavan et al., 2009)

Evaluation

- Automatic evaluation: BLEU-4
- Human evaluation: Fluency, Semantic Correctness, Coherence

Experimental Setup

Data

- WEATHERGOV : weather reports [4 sents, 345 words] (Liang et al., 2009)
- WINHELP : troubleshooting guides [4.3 sents, 629 words] (Branavan et al., 2009)

Evaluation

- Automatic evaluation: BLEU-4
- Human evaluation: Fluency, Semantic Correctness, Coherence

System Comparison

- G_{RSE}, G_{RST}
- Konstas and Lapata (2012)
- Angeli et al. (2010)

Results

Results: Automatic Evaluation





Results

Results: Automatic Evaluation





Results

Results: Automatic Evaluation





Results

Results: Automatic Evaluation





Results

Results: Automatic Evaluation

WINHELP



Konstas, Lapata (ILCC)

Concept-to-Text Generation

Results

Results: Automatic Evaluation

WINHELP



Results

Results: Automatic Evaluation

WINHELP



Results

Results: Automatic Evaluation

WINHELP



Results

Results: Human Evaluation (Coherence)


Output

K&L

Click start, point to settings, and then click control panel. Doubleclick network and dial-up connections. Right-click local area connection, and then click properties. Click install, and then click add. Click network monitor driver, and then click ok.

Click start, point to settings, and then click control panel. Doubleclick network and dial-up connections. Double-click network and dial-up connections. Right-click local area connection, and then click ok.

Click start, point to settings, click control panel, and then doubleclick network and dial-up connections. Right-click local area connection, and then click properties. Click install, click protocol, and then click add. Click network monitor driver, and then click ok.

Conclusions

- End-to-end generation system that incorporates document planning
- Grammar-based approach allows for document planning naturally: all we need is a discourse grammar
- Provide two solutions for document plans:
 - Linguistically naive record sequence grammar (G_{RSE})
 - RST-inspired grammar (*G_{RST}*)
- Future work: more challenging domains (financial, biographies)

Thank you

Questions ?



$$\hat{g} = f\left(\arg\max_{g,h} p(g) \cdot p(g, h | \mathbf{d})\right)$$

- Bottom-up Viterbi search
- Keep k-best derivations at each node, cube pruning (Chiang, 2007)
- p(g) rescores derivations using an n-gram language model